# CS 4803 / 7643: Deep Learning

Topics:

- Linear Classifiers
- Loss Functions

Dhruv Batra Georgia Tech

# Administrativia

- Notes on class webpage
	- https://www.cc.gatech.edu/classes/AY2019/cs7643\_fall/

- HW0 Reminder
	- Due: 09/05

### Recap from last time

#### **Image Classification**: A core task in Computer Vision



This image by Nikita is licensed under **CC-BY 2.0** 

(assume given set of discrete labels) {dog, cat, truck, plane, ...}



#### Challenges of recognition

#### Viewpoint



#### Illumination Deformation Occlusion





This image is CC0 1.0 public domain This image by Umberto Salvagning



is linear by **umperto salvagnin**<br>is licensed under CC-BY 2.0 under CC-BY 2.0



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

#### Intraclass Variation



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

### An image classifier

def classify\_image(image): # Some magic here? return class\_label

Unlike e.g. sorting a list of numbers,

**no obvious way** to hard-code the algorithm for recognizing a cat, or other classes.

# Supervised Learning

- 
- 
- Input: x (images, text, emails...) • Output: y (spam or non-spam...)
- (Unknown) Target Function  $-$  f:  $X \rightarrow Y$  (the "true" mapping / reality)

- Data  $(x_1,y_1), (x_2,y_2), ..., (x_N,y_N)$
- Model / Hypothesis Class
	- $-$  {h:  $X \rightarrow Y$ }
	- $-$  e.g.  $y = h(x) = sign(w^Tx)$
- Loss Function
	- How good is a model wrt my data D?
- Learning = Search in hypothesis space
	- Find best h in model class.

#### Error Decomposition



# Error Decomposition

- Approximation/Modeling Error
	- You approximated reality with model
- Estimation Error
	- You tried to learn model with finite data
- Optimization Error
	- You were lazy and couldn't/didn't optimize to completion
- Bayes Error
	- Reality just sucks

#### First classifier: **Nearest Neighbor**

def train(images, labels): # Machine learning! return model

Memorize all data and labels

def predict(model, test\_images): # Use model to predict labels return test\_labels

Predict the label of the most similar training image

# Nearest Neighbours



### Instance/Memory-based Learning

Four things make a memory based learner:

• *A distance metric*

• *How many nearby neighbors to look at?*

• *A weighting function (optional)*

• *How to fit with the local points?*

#### Parametric vs Non-Parametric Models

- Does the capacity (size of hypothesis class) grow with size of training data?
	- Yes = Non-Parametric Models
	- No = Parametric Models

### Hyperparameters

Your Dataset

#### **Idea #4**: **Cross-Validation**: Split data into **folds**,

try each fold as validation and average the results



Useful for small datasets, but not used too frequently in deep learning

#### Problems with Instance-Based Learning

- Expensive
	- No Learning: most real work done during testing
	- For every test sample, must search through all dataset very slow!
	- Must use tricks like approximate nearest neighbour search
- Doesn't work well when large number of irrelevant features
	- Distances overwhelmed by noisy features
- Curse of Dimensionality
	- Distances become meaningless in high dimensions
	- (See proof in next lecture)

k-Nearest Neighbor on images **never used.**

- Very slow at test time
- Distance metrics on pixels are not informative



**Original image** is CC0 public domain (all 3 images have same L2 distance to the one on the left)

k-Nearest Neighbor on images **never used.**

- Curse of dimensionality

Dimensions = 1

Points  $= 4$ 







#### Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n



### Curse of Dimensionality



# Plan for Today

- Linear Classifiers
	- Linear scoring functions
- Loss Functions
	- Multi-class hinge loss
	- Softmax cross-entropy loss

# Linear Classification



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

# Visual Question Answering

#### **Image Embedding (VGGNet)**

#### Neural Network Softmax over top K answers



4096-dim

(C) Dhruv Batra 24

### Recall CIFAR10



**50,000** training images each image is **32x32x3**

**10,000** test images.

# Parametric Approach



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

# Parametric Approach: Linear Classifier



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

# Parametric Approach: Linear Classifier



# Parametric Approach: Linear Classifier



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

#### Error Decomposition



#### Error Decomposition







#### Algebraic Viewpoint

 $f(x,W) = Wx$ 





#### Interpreting a Linear Classifier





#### Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Visual Viewpoint


## Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Geometric Viewpoint



# $f(x,W) = Wx + b$



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

> by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

# Hard cases for a linear classifier

**Class 1**: First and third quadrants

**Class 2**: Second and fourth quadrants



**Class 1**:  $1 \le l$  2 norm  $\le l$ 

**Class 2**: Everything else



**Class 1**: Three modes

**Class 2**: Everything else



# Linear Classifier: Three Viewpoints

 $f(x,W) = Wx$ 



One template per class



Algebraic Viewpoint | Visual Viewpoint | Geometric Viewpoint

**Hyperplanes** cutting up space



# **So far**: Defined a (linear) score function

 $f(x,W) = Wx + b$ 



ita is licensed under <mark>CC-BY 2.0; Car image</mark> is <mark>CC0 1.0</mark> public domain; <mark>Frog image</mark> is in the public domain

Example class scores for 3 images for some W:

How can we tell whether this W is good or bad?

# **So far**: Defined a (linear) score function



k<mark>ita</mark> is licensed under <mark>CC-BY 2.0; Car image is CC0 1.0</mark> public domain; <mark>Frog image</mark> is in the public domain

## TODO:

- 1. Define a **loss function** that quantifies our unhappiness with the scores across the training data.
- 2. Come up with a way of efficiently finding the parameters that minimize the loss function. **(optimization)**

# Supervised Learning

- 
- 
- Input: x (images, text, emails...) • Output: y (spam or non-spam...)
- (Unknown) Target Function  $-$  f:  $X \rightarrow Y$  (the "true" mapping / reality)

- Data  $(x_1,y_1), (x_2,y_2), ..., (x_N,y_N)$
- Model / Hypothesis Class
	- $-$  {h:  $X \rightarrow Y$ }
	- $-$  e.g.  $y = h(x) = sign(w^Tx)$
- Loss Function
	- How good is a model wrt my data D?
- Learning = Search in hypothesis space
	- Find best h in model class.

# Loss Functions







cat frog car **3.2** 5.1 -1.7 **4.9** 1.3 2.0 **-3.1** 2.5 2.2

A **loss function** tells how good our current classifier is

Given a dataset of examples

$$
\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N
$$

Where  $x_i$  is image and  $y_i$  is (integer) label

Loss over the dataset is a sum of loss over examples:

 $L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$ 

**3.2**

5.1

-1.7

cat

car

frog



**4.9**

2.0 **-3.1**

1.3

#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$
L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_i} \ge s_j + 1 \\ s_j - s_{y_i} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

$$
= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \underbrace{\max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)}_{\mathbf{r}} \underbrace{\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} \math
$$

2.5

2.2

Suppose: 3 training examples, 3 classes. **Multiclass SVM loss:** With some W the scores  $f(x, W) = Wx$  are: Given an example "Hinge loss" where is the integer and in the index of the integer and in the intege where is the (integer) label, where  $\mathcal{L}$ and using the shorthand for the  $s_{y_i}$ (999)  $s_j$ **3.2** 1.3 2.2 cat  $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{y_i} \geq s_j + 1 \ s_j - s_{y_i} + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 2.5 **4.9** 5.1 car  $= \sum \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ 2.0 **-3.1** -1.7 frog  $i \neq y_i$ 





cat frog car **3.2** 5.1 -1.7 **4.9** 1.3 2.0 **-3.1** 2.5 2.2

#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

$$
\boxed{L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)}
$$



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

$$
L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$
  
= max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1)  
+ max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1)  
= max(0, 2.9) + max(0, -3.9)  
= 2.9 + 0  
= 2.9

cat

car



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

$$
L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$
  
= max(0, 1.3 - 4.9 + 1)  
+ max(0, 2.0 - 4.9 + 1)  
= max(0, -2.6) + max(0, -1.9)  
= 0 + 0  
= 0



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

$$
L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$
  
= max(0, 2.2 - (-3.1) + 1)  
+ max(0, 2.5 - (-3.1) + 1)  
= max(0, 6.3) + max(0, 6.6)  
= 6.3 + 6.6  
= 12.9





#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

Loss over full dataset is average:

$$
L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i
$$
  
L =  $(2.9 + 0 + 12.9)/3$   
= 5.27

**3.2**

5.1



#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

Q: What happens to loss if car image Losses: 2.9 0 12.9 scores change a bit?

3.2  
\n5.1  
\n-1.7  
\n2.9  
\n
$$
4.9+2
$$
  
\n2.0<sup>+2</sup>  
\n0

$$
1.3 - 2
$$
\n
$$
4.9 + 2.5
$$
\n
$$
2.0 + 2.5
$$
\n
$$
2.0 + 2.3
$$
\n
$$
1.2 - 2.5
$$
\n
$$
1.2 - 2.5
$$
\n
$$
1.2 - 2.5
$$





#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

Q2: what is the min/max possible



**3.2**

5.1

-1.7

cat

car

frog



**4.9**

2.0 **-3.1**

1.3

#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 

the SVM loss has the form:

cat	3.2	1.3	2.2	$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$
car	5.1	4.9	2.5	Q3: At initialization W
frog	-1.7	2.0	-3.1	is small so all s=0
Losses:	2.9	0	12.9	What is the loss?



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

2.5

2.2





#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 





#### **Multiclass SVM loss:**

Given an example  $(x_i, y_i)$ where  $x_i$  is the image and where  $y_i$  is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector:  $s = f(x_i, W)$ 





**Multiclass SVM loss:**

## Multiclass SVM Loss: Example code

$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

```
def L i vectorized(x, y, W):
scpress = W.dot(x)margins = np.maximum(0, scores - scores[y] + 1)margins \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}loss i = np.sum(margins)return loss i
```

$$
f(x,W)=Wx \over \underbrace{L=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N\sum_{j\neq y_i}\max(0,f(x_i;W)_j-f(x_i;W)_{y_i}+1)}_{=}
$$

E.g. Suppose that we found a W such that  $L = 0$ . Is this W unique?

$$
\begin{aligned} & f(x,W)=Wx \\ & L=\tfrac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N\sum_{j\neq y_i}\max(0,f(x_i;W)_j-f(x_i;W)_{y_i}+1) \end{aligned}
$$

## E.g. Suppose that we found a W such that  $L = 0$ . Is this W unique?

# **No! 2W is also has L = 0!**



$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

#### **Before:**

 $= max(0, 1.3 - 4.9 + 1)$  $+max(0, 2.0 - 4.9 + 1)$  $= max(0, -2.6) + max(0, -1.9)$  $= 0 + 0$  $= 0$ 

**With W twice as large:**  $= max(0, 2.6 - 9.8 + 1)$  $+max(0, 4.0 - 9.8 + 1)$  $= max(0, -6.2) + max(0, -4.8)$  $= 0 + 0$  $= 0$ 







Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**



Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
s = f(x_i; W) \qquad \boxed{P(Y = k | X = x_i) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}} \text{Softmax}} \quad \text{Equation (1.5.1)}
$$

cat frog car **3.2** 5.1 -1.7

**Probabilities** 

 $s=f(x_i;W)$ 



cat

car

frog



Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
\boxed{P(Y=k|X=x_i)=\frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}\hspace{.05 in}]\hspace{.05 in} \text{Sc} \quad}
$$

 $S<sub>f</sub>$ ftmax Function





Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**





Log-Likelihood// KL-Divergence //Cross-Entropy III  $D = \{ (x_i, y_i) \}$ mox PC<br>mox log 1  $\omega$ ) log P (yi) xi)  $\leq m\infty$  $\sqrt{5}$ 

# Log-Likelihood / KL-Divergence / Cross-Entropy  $P(y=1)xi/w)$  $8407$  $= -2$  $\epsilon$  $= -log\hat{R}(y^*_{i}|x,w)$  $\leq p^{2t}(y)$  log  $\leq p(y)$  $\geq$  $\mathcal{U}$ (C) Dhruv Batra  $= -\frac{U \cdot U}{\sqrt{2}}$  +  $H(\rho^{st})$  +  $H(\rho^{st}, \rho^{st})$  74
## Log-Likelihood / KL-Divergence / Cross-Entropy









**3.2**

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
\boxed{s=f(x_i;W)}
$$

$$
\left| P(Y=k|X=x_i) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}} \right|
$$

**Softmax** Function

Maximize probability of correct class Putting it all together:

$$
L_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i)
$$

$$
L_i = -\log(\tfrac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})
$$

car

frog

cat

5.1 -1.7



**3.2**

5.1

-1.7

cat

car

frog

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
\boxed{s=f(x_i;W)}
$$

$$
\overline{P(Y=k|X=x_i)=\left.\frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}\right|}\;\text{Softmax}\atop\text{Function}
$$

÷

Maximize probability of correct class Putting it all together:

$$
L_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i)
$$

Q: What is the min/max possible loss L\_i?

 $-$  log  $(c)$ 

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n



**3.2**

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
\boxed{s=f(x_i;W)}
$$

$$
\left| P(Y = k | X = x_i) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}} \right| \text{ Softmax}
$$

Maximize probability of correct class

$$
L_i = -\log P(Y=y_i|X=x_i)
$$

$$
L_i = -\log(\tfrac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})
$$

frog car

cat

5.1 -1.7

Q: What is the min/max possible loss L\_i? A: min 0, max infinity



Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$
s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k | X = x_i) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}} \text{ Softmax}
$$

Maximize probability of correct class Putting it all together:

 $L_i = -\log(\frac{e^{s y_i}}{\sum_i e^{s_j}})$ 

cat

\n
$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{Cat} & 0 \\
\text{Car} & 0 \\
\text{frog} & 0\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\nL_i = -\log P(Y = y_i | X = x_i) \\
\hline\n\text{Q2: At initialization all s.}\n\end{array}
$$
\nfrog

\n
$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{G1} & \text{opproximately equal; wh.}\n\end{array}
$$

At initialization all s will be roximately equal; what is the loss?

 $-\log(\frac{21}{2})$   $>$   $\log(2)$ 



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{S} = \textstyle f(x_i;W) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \textstyle \left| P(Y=k | X=x_i) = \frac{e^{s_k}}{\sum_i e^{s_j}} \right| \end{array}$ 

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

Maximize probability of correct class Putting it all together:

Softmax Function

 $L_i = -\log(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_i e^{s_j}})$ 

 $L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i | X = x_i)$ **3.2** cat 5.1 car -1.7 frog

Q2: At initialization all s will be approximately equal; what is the loss? A:  $log(C)$ , eg  $log(10) \approx 2.3$ 



Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

### Softmax vs. SVM

$$
L_i = -\log(\tfrac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}) \hspace{1cm} L_i = \textstyle \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

### Softmax vs. SVM

$$
L_i = \textstyle\sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

assume scores:  $[10, \frac{1}{2}, 2, 3]$  $[10, 9, 9]$ [10, -100, -100] and

 $L_i = -\log$ 

Q: Suppose Ltake a datapoint and I jiggle a bit (changing its score slightly). What happens to the loss in both cases?

 $\mathbf \Omega$ 

# Recap

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a **score function:**

$$
s=f(x;W)\overset{\mathtt{e.g}}{=} Wx
$$

- We have a **loss function**:

$$
\begin{aligned} L_i&=-\log(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})\\ L_i&=\sum_{j\neq y_i}\max(0,s_j-s_{y_i}+1)\\ L&=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^NL_i+R(W) \text{ full loss}\end{aligned}
$$



## Recap

#### How do we find the best W?

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a **score function:**

$$
s=f(x;W)\overset{\mathtt{e.g}}{=} Wx
$$

- We have a **loss function**:

$$
\begin{aligned} L_i&=-\log(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})\\ L_i&=\sum_{j\neq y_i}\max(0,s_j-s_{y_i}+1)\\ L&=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^NL_i+R(W) \text{ Full loss}\end{aligned}
$$

